US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

100601

EEB BRANCH REVIEW

REVIEW NO. 27

Г	ATE: IN _	2-8-83 OUT <u>8-25-83</u>	
FILE OR REG. NO	3125-	283, 3125-236, 3125-237	
PETITION OR EXP. PE	RMIT NO.		
		1-31-83	
		6-15-83	
		8-26-83	
		8-19-83	
		316/Amendment	
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F,	N, R, SInsecticide/Nematicide	
DATA ACCESSION NO(S)		
		H. Jacoby (21)	
		Nemacur 15G	
COMPANY NAME	Mobay Ch	emical Company	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Proposed	Conditional Registration of Tobacco,	
	Nonbeari	ng Apples, Cherries, and Peaches	
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.		CHEMICAL, & FORMULATION	% A.I.
100601	Ethyl-3-me	thyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (l-methylet	hyl)
	phosphoram	idate	*
	Inert ingr		*
		Total	*

100. PESTICIDE USE

The registrant is requesting that Nemacur (3EC, 10G, and 15G) formulations be conditionally registered as a nematicide for use on tobacco, non-bearing apples, cherries, and peaches.

100.1 APPLICATION RATES/METHODS/DIRECTIONS

Proposed ammendments to existing product (10G/15G/3EC) labels are available in Appendix I.

101. CHEMICAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

See previous reviews by Gessner 12/3/80; Regelman (EFB) 1/19/83.

101.3 PRECAUTIONARY LABEL STATEMENTS

This product is toxic to fish, birds, and other wildlife. Keep out of lakes, streams or ponds. Bird feeding in treated areas may be killed. In cleaning equipment or disposal of wastes, do not contaminate water.

103. TOXICOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

103.1 Mammals: See attached Toxicological "one liner" (Appendix II)

103.2 Birds / Fish / Aquatic Invertebrates

See previous reviews by Bowen 3/9/83, Rabert 9/28/82, Gessner 12/3/80, Touart 12/28/79, and Gavin 1/10/76.

Fish and wildlife data obtained since the last review are listed below (Personnel communication Dr. Elwood F. Hill, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center. March 14, 1983):

<u>Study</u>	Species	Formulation	Results	95% C.I.
Avian Acute		90% A.I.	1.0 mg/kg	(0.7 - 13.0)
Avian Acute		15% Granular	2.4 mg/kg	(1.2 - 4.6)

103.5 Agriculatural Use Profile

Nemacur's active ingredient (fenamiphos) is currently registered for use on soybeans (71,586,000 acres), peanuts (1,549,700 acres), and cotton (13,947,000 acres). Nemacur registrations to date provide

for a potential usage on approximately 87,082,700 acres. The proposed registration for tobacco (877,113 acres) and non-bearing apples (507,348 acres), cherries (119,112 acres), and peaches (249,819 acres) could theoretically result in a 2% increase in overall usage of this product in the United States.

These data indicate that the proposed registration would represent a minimal increase in acreage. It is important to note, however, that the proposed orchard uses (876,229 acres) deviate significantly from past crop registrations and will result in the exposure of new populations of non-target organisms.

TOBACCO USE

Initial soil preparation begins in the early spring with the turning under of immature small grain cover crops (i.e., barley, wheat, rye). Such crops are planted following the previous year's harvest for the purpose of increasing available nutrients and soil stability. Applications of nematicide are made to bare soil some time later and just prior to the transplanting of tobacco seeedlings. Acreage (average size of farm) devoted to the commerical production of tobacco is unusually small, as individual field range from 1-5 acres in size. Tobacco fields are usually surrounded by hedge rows, other agricultural crops, woodlots, streams, and drainage ditches.

Registration of Nemacur for use on tobacco would result in the use of this product in 18 states and could involve between 957,650 and 1,086,350 acres. A complete breakdown of potential acreage by state is shown in Appendix V.

ORCHARD USE

Non-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after application, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this one-year period must be destroyed and not used for human or animal consumption. A complete break-down of potential acreage by state is shown in Appendix V. No additional data are available on the use of granular and/or liquid nematicides on non-bearing deciduous fruit trees.

104. HAZARD ASSESSMENT

INTRODUCTION

The hazards to non-target mammalian and avian species posed by granular fenamiphos are based upon the average weight of one (1) 15-G granule and the LD $_{50}$ for the most sensitive organisms tested. Hazards outlined for the 3EC formulations are based upon the minimum labeled rate (10 lbs. A.I./A un-incorporated) for orchard use and the LC $_{50}$ of the most sensitive species tested. Aquatic hazards are based upon estimated aquatic concentrations resulting from contaminated rainwater runoff and the LC $_{50}$ for the most sensitive aquatic organism tested. Toxicological extrapolations across species lines do not include the possibility of species hypersensitivity to fenamiphos.

The registrant (Mobay Chemical Corporation) proposes to apply Nemacur to tobacco, non-bearing apples, cherries and peaches at the rate of 66 to 133 pounds formulated product (9.9 to 20.0 AI/A) per acre. The product is to be incorporated mechanically 2 to 4 inches deep or by sprinkler irrigation (1 to 2 inches of water) applied immediately following application. Use rates may not exceed 133.3 pound formulation/acre per planting site. See Appendix I for 3EC and 10G/15G product labels.

The Ecological Effects Branch would also like to emphasize the fact that the process of incorporation only serves to reduce the potential for non-target wildlife exposure not, eliminate it. Recent reseach has shown that even the most modern farm equipment (i.e., John Deere 7,000, etc.) cannot cover all of the granules applied. Erbach and Tollefson (1981) using commerically available equipment found that 5 % of the granules applied remained on the soil surface. Under these conditions, 1-2 granules of pesticide/cm of treated row would be available to non-target wildife. Fink (1980) also examined the degree with which corn planters could incorporate granular pesticides. Counts conducted immediately after incorporation revealed that both row areas (\overline{X} = 70 granules/sq ft.) and end row turn areas (\overline{X} = 344 granules/sq ft.) contained large numbers of exposed granules. Balcomb et al. (1982) also reported seeing exposed granules while conducting field searches for non-target mortalites. Field studies with fenamiphos and other granular pesticides have documented that non-target birds and mammals can ingest lethal doses of granular pesticides during the course of their normal feeding activites (Balcomb et al. 1982; Bunyan et al.1981; Lamb, 1982; Carlisle, 1982).

The fact that some granules remain on the soil surface even after incorporation is important because fenamiphos formulations are of such high toxicity that the quantity left poses a toxicological hazard to non-target wildlife. Agency sponsored field studies also indicate

that adequately incorporated granules can still pose a hazard to bird species that typically probe the soil surface for worms and grubs. Balcomb et al. (1982) noted that the majority of pesticide related mortality occurred in robins, a species seen to repeatedly probe treated areas for earthworms. Observations of this nature suggest that birds feeding in treated areas can ingest a lethal dose of incorporated granules.

Mammalian Exposure

TOXICITY DATA

End-use formulations of fenamiphos (15G) are highly toxic to mammals (rat LD50 range from 10 to 61 mg/kg). The toxicity of Nemacur sulfoxide (95%), one of the two principle metabolites is higher than the parent material (LD50 range between 3.7 and 4.1 mg/kg). Results from 5 acute oral studies are provided in TOX " one-liner" data summary sheet (See Appendix II). No data are available on the chronic effects of this chemical on non-target mammals.

HAZARDS FROM GRANULAR (10G/15G) FORMULATIONS

Exposure to mammals is expected to occur through the accidental ingestion of granules during the process of feeding and/or grooming. A rat LD50 of 10 mg/kg was used to establish a mammalian restricted use classification trigger (1/5 LD50) of 2.0 mg/kg. The toxicological hazards posed by fenamiphos granules to four (4) species of mammals known to frequent agricultural areas are delineated in Table 1. These calculations indicate that ingestion of a relatively large number (157-164) of granules are required to exceed estimated restricted use triggers for larger (1100 - 454 g.) mammals. Smaller (<200 g.) could fesibly ingest enough granules (12-28) to exceed their respective triggers. However, considering that the major route of exposure is expected to occur accidentally mammals that can exceed their LD50 by ingesting a small number of 10 or 15-G granules would be the species most likely affected. Maximum weight calculations for this most susceptible group are shown below:

Animal Weight =
$$\underline{\text{(Granule Weight (mg) X Percent Active) X}}$$
 $\underline{\text{1000}}$ (g) $\underline{\text{LD}_{50}}$

Table 1.

Fenamiphos (10G/15G) Hazard to Four Species of Non-Target Mammals.

			Number of	Granules 1	Equal to	2 /	
	Body	Mg/	LD50	<u> </u>	1/5 LD5	<u>3</u> /	
Species	Weight (g)	Animal (g)§§	_10G_	15G_	10G	_15G_	
Rat - ††	200	2.0	215.0	142.8	43.0	28.5	
Eastern Cottontail (Adult)	1100	11.0	1,182.0	785.7	236.5	157.1	
Weaned Young 20 days old	85	0.85	91.3	60.7	18.2	12.1	
Grey Squirrel (Adult-Female)	520	5.2	559.1	371.4	111.8	74.2	
Weaned Young 10 weeks old	200	2.0	215.0	142.8	43.0	28.5	
Delmarva Fox 2/ Squirrel (Adult Female)	795	7.95	854.8	567.8	170.9	113.5	
Weaned Young 8-10 weeks old	_	4.54 *****	488.2 ******	324.2 *****	97.6 *****	64.8	****

- tt Rat LD50=10.0 mg/kg (Emperical Data; See Appendix II).
- 1/ Weight of one (1) 15G granule = 0.093 mg (Bowen/Balcomb, Beltsville Lab. 7/24/81). Weight of one (1) 10G granule = estimated to be same as 15G formulation. Weight of Fenamiphos in one granule = 0.093 mg x 15% = 0.0139 mg/granule. = 0.093 mg x 10% = 0.0093 mg/granule.
- §§ Mg/Animal = Rat LD₅₀ X Animal Weight (kg) = 10.0 mg/kg x 0.085 kg = 0.85 mg/kg.

Number of 15-G granules required to equal LD₅₀ = $\frac{0.85 \text{ mg/kg}}{0.014 \text{ mg/Fenamiphos/granule}} = 60.7 \text{ granules}$

- 2/ Weight data obtained via telephone conversations with Gary Taylor (301-827-8612) and Dr. Vagan Flyger (454-4641) of the Delmarva Fox Squirrel Recovery Team.
- 3/ Restricted use classification trigger of 1/5 LD₅₀.

Animal Weight =
$$\frac{(0.093 \text{ mg X .}10) \text{ X } 1000}{10.0 \text{ mg/kg}} = 0.93 \text{ g} + 4.65 \text{ g}$$
 9.3 g

In conclusion, registration of fenamiphos for an 1,753,000 additional acres will result in a minimal increase in exposure, but not in acute risks to non-target mammals. More specifically, mortalities are expected to result from the accidental ingestion of granules and as such, the likelihood of a mammalian species ingesting a lethal dose will increase in areas where granules are not immediately or properly incorporated. Mortalities are expected to occur primarily in very small mammals (< 13.9 grams) and to be heaviest during the first week following product application. The hazard to mammalian wildlife should be significantly reduced following rainfall or irrigation of incorporated areas.

Avian Exposure

AVAILABLE TOXICITY DATA

Fenamiphos (90% AI) is highly toxic to upland game birds (bobwhite LD50 = 1.6 mg/kg) and to waterfowl (mallard LD50 = 1.68 mg/kg). No observable effect levels (NOEL's) have been determined for both the mallard duck (14-weeks NOEL = 2 ppm) and bobwhite quail (25-weeks NOEL = 8 ppm). A fourteen (14) week exposure of 8 ppm has been shown to significantly effect developing bobwhite embryos. No data are available on the subacute toxicity of sulfoxide and sulfone metabolites to non-target avian species.

Avian 8-day subacute bioassays were unable to demonstrate a no observable effect level (NOEL) for fenamiphos on upland game birds and waterfowl. Bioassays conducted on bobwhite and mallard duck suggest that short term NOEL's are < 46.4 ppm and <10 ppm, respectively.

The effects of fenamiphos on upland game birds under field use conditions were tested on two previous occasions. Abstracts of these studies are presented below:

Bobwhite quail were tested in a 14-day field study on soil to evaluate the toxic effects of Nemacur 3 under simulated field conditions. The test substance was applied at rates of 6, 10, and 20 pounds AI/acre and immediately incorporated to a depth of 2 to 3 inches. Under the conditions of this study Nemacur had no significant effect on mortality, weight gain, clinical signs, gross lesions or brain cholinesterase activity (Author's Abstract).

The toxicity of Nemacur 3 to resident birds was tested in a 41-day orchard field study. The compound was broadcast at 23.8 lbs AI/acre during the late spring and not incorporated. Under these conditions it represents a hazard to those species which forage on the ground in the treated areas. Significant (0.9 inches) rainfall appears to eliminate the hazard (Author's Abstract).

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE DATA

Nemacur adsorbs to soil particles but can leach in soils which have a low adsorption coefficient. These would tend to be light soils with less organic matter or fine clay particles. Nemacur generally converts to sulfoxide and sulfone phenols within three (3) weeks, but residues of (20 lbs/a.i.) Nemacur and its metabolites have been found in a soil sample 2 years after the last application. These soil residues were noted to be tightly bound to soil particles in heavier soils. Hydrolysis is not a mode of soil degradation as Nemacur binds to soil molecules. Because of this some runoff occurs (Cook, R.W. EFB 10/03/73).

Fenamiphos and its soil metabolites are readily absorbed by plants. Data characterizing soil photolysis are not currently available but have been requested by the Environmental Fate Branch (Fletcher 01/19/83).

HAZARDS FROM GRANULAR (10G/15G/3EC) FORMULATIONS

Exposure to birds is expected to occur primarly through the accidental ingestion of covered and uncovered granules during the normal feeding process. A bobwhite quail acute study (LD $_{50}$ = 2.4 mg/kg) was used to establish a restricted use classification trigger (1/5 LC $_{50}$) of 0.48 mg/kg.**

The extent to which fenamiphos granules could pose a hazard to non-target birds is demonstrated in Table 2. These calculations suggest that birds weighing as much as 200 grams could exceed their no effect trigger by ingesting as few as 7 granules of the 15% formulation. However, birds that most likely affected would be those species that could exceed their LD50 by ingesting a relatively small number of granules. Calculations for maximum weight (g) for birds likely to exceed their LD50 are shown below:

Footnote **

Fenamiphos single dose oral LD $_{50}$ easily exceeds the Agency's proposed granular classification trigger (LD $_{50}$ < 50 mg/kg) for a restricted use pesticide.

Table 2. Fenamiphos (10G/15G) Hazard to Seven Species of Non-Target Birds.

Number of Granules Equal to

	Body	MG/	L	<u>1/</u>	1/5	<u>3</u> / LD50
Species	Weight (g)	Animal (g)§§	_15G	10G	_15G_	_10G_
Bobwhite®® (adult)	200	0.48	34.3	51.6	6.9	10.3
Bobwhite (14-day)	30	0.07	5.1	7.7	1.0	1.5
Robin	80	0.19	13.7	20.6	2.7	4.1
Mourning Dove	100	0.24	17.1	25.8	3.4	5.2
House Sparrow	20	0.048	3.4	5.2	0.7	1.0
Redwing- Blackbird	50	0.12	8.6	12.9	1.7	2.5
Grasshopper Sparrow	13.9	0.033	2.4	3.6	0.48	0.7
Attwater's <u>2</u> / Prairie Chicken (adult)	1000	2.4	171.4	258.1	34.3	51.6
Prairie Chicken (14-day) *****	50 ******	0.12	8.6 *****	12.9 ******	1.7	2.5 *****

®® Bobwhite LD50 = 2.4 mg/kg of 15G formulation (See Section 103.2).

SS - Mg/Animal = bobwhite LD50 X Animal Weight (kg) = 2.4 mg/kg X 0.200 kg = 0.48 mg/kg.

Number of 15-G granules required = $\frac{0.48 \text{ mg/animal}}{0.014 \text{ mg/Fenamiphos/granule}} = 34.28 \text{ granules}$

3/- Restricted use classification trigger of 1/5 LD50.

^{1/-} Weight of one 15G granule = 0.093 mg (Bowen/Balcomb, Beltsville Lab. 7/24/81.
- Weight of one 10G granule estimated to be same as 15G formulation.
Weight of Fenamiphos in one granule = 0.093 mg X 15% = 0.0139 mg/granule.
= 0.093 mg X 10% = 0.0093 mg/granule.

^{2/-} Weight data obtained via telephone conversations with Wayne Shifflet (713-234-3021 Refuge Manager, Attwater's Prairie Chicken Refuge, Aransas, Texas.

Animal Weight =
$$\frac{\text{(Granule Weight [mg] X Percent Active) X 1000}}{\text{LD}_{50}}$$
 1 5 10 $\frac{\text{Granules}}{\text{For 15G}} = \frac{(0.093 \text{ mg x .15) x 1000}}{2.4 \text{ mg/kg}} = 5.7 \text{ g}$ 28.9 g 57.9 g

Both Red-winged Blackbirds (<u>Agelaius phoeniceus</u>) were orally dosed with fenamiphos 15G granules at EPA's Beltville Laboratory (Balcomb and Bowen 11/20/80). Twenty-four (24) hour mortality data are presented below:

Number of Granules	Red-Winged Blackbird	House Sparrow
5	2 of 5 birds dosed died (40% mortality)	Not tested
10	3 of 5 birds dosed died (60% morality)	Not tested

Results from these studies not only document the acute toxicity of the formulated product to small birds but also serve to reinforce the estimated avian hazards cited in Table 2 since emperical and estimated toxicity data for Red-wings are in concurrence. Formulated product testing cited earlier (Section 103.2) also substantiates the toxicity of the 15G formulation to upland game birds.

HAZARD FROM LIQUID (3 EC) FORMULATION

Fenamiphos (90% AI) is highly toxic to upland game birds (bobwhite LC_{50} = 38 ppm; Japanese quail LC_{50} = 59 ppm) and moderately toxic to waterfowl (mallard LC_{50} = 316 ppm). No observable effect levels (NOEL's) have been determined for both the mallard duck (14-weeks NOEL = 2 ppm) and bobwhite quail (25-weeks NOEL = 8 ppm). No data are available on the subacute toxicity of sulfoxide and sulfone metabolites to non-target avian species.

A bobwhite quail feeding study (LC_{50} = 38 ppm) was used to establish a restricted use classification trigger ($1/5\ LC_{50}$) of 7.6 ppm. The extent to which non-target birds could be exposed to Nemacur 3EC residues is examined in Table 1A-C (See Appendix III). Exposure estimates are based upon one (1) application at the minimum labeled rate of 10 1bs AI/acre and the assumption that incorporation does not entirely eliminate the availability of all contaminated dietary items. Comparisons between total estimated daily pesticide body burdens and restricted use triggers ($1/5\ LC_{50}$) determined for eight species of non-target birds suggest the following:

- That small upland game birds feeding on dietary items contaminated by fenamiphos residues could exceed the 7.6 ppm/day restricted use trigger by a factor of 59 times. (Table 1: 14-day bobwhite).
- 2. That small (<50 g.) insectivorous birds (14-day old bobwhite, Carolina wren, etc.) are likely to be exposed at the highest fenamiphos residues (Tables 1B and 1C).
- 3. That seed eating birds (mourning dove) should be exposed to lower fenamiphos residues (Table 1B).
- 4. Total estimated exposure from both plant and animal dietary items exceeds the avian 8-day subacute NOELS for both bobwhite (<10 ppm) and mallard (<46.4 ppm).

The duration of 3EC residues on potential avian food items cannot be determined with the registrant's existing data base. Mortalities occurring in the orchard field study cited earlier do suggest, however, that lethal residues exist for 7 to 8 days following product application. The lack of persistence data prohibits EEB from commenting on the potential effects of fenamiphos on avian reproduction. Field soil photolysis studies requested by EFB are needed to predict the extent and duration of fenamiphos residues on avian dietary items (See also Section 107.5 EEB's Conclusions).

The effects of fenamiphos on upland game birds under actual field use conditions has never been adequately tested. Small pen and orchard field studies cited earlier will not support the proposed registration. Rates of application and use sites in both studies do not reflect the agricultural practices or labeled rates for tobacco and orchard uses and such, do not adequately reflect avian hazards associated with the proposed action. Nevertheless, some of the observations recorded do provide useful information. The fact that test mortalities were limited to the first two days in the 14-day small pen study is believed to be dependent upon supplemental food, incorporation, and the relatively heavy body weight (150-200 g.) of the test subjects used (See Table 1B; Granular Hazard for Adult Quail). The large scale avian mortality observed during the orchard field study only demonstrates the increased hazard associated with un-incorporated broadcast spray applications.

As indicated earlier, the use of fenamiphos in deciduous fruit tree orchards deviates significantly from previously registered uses. This factor, inconjuction with the extent to which all three formulations are expected to impact small (<100 grams) birds, suggests the need for end-use field studies that could be used to quantify the impact of this pesticide on non-target avian species. However, the minimal increase in acreage (<0.4%) associated with non-bearing trees (see Appendix V) makes the need for such a request questionable. Given this situation, EEB will not request an end-use field study. EEB will, however, continue to collect information on this product and will re-consider the weed for end-use field studies at the time of product re-registration.

In conclusion, registration of fenamiphos (3EC/10G/15G) for an additional 1,753,392 acres will result in a minimal increase in exposure, and acute risk to non-target birds. More specifically mortalities are expected to result from the ingestion of pesticide granules and/or contaminated dietary items and, as such, the likelihood of an avian species ingesting a lethal dose of fenamiphos will increase in areas where the product is not immediately or properly incorporated. Avian mortalities are expected to occur primarily in small birds (< 100 grams) and to be heaviest during the first week following product application. The hazard to non-target avian wildlife will be significantly reduced following rainfall or irrigation of incorporated areas.

The Ecological Effects Branch wants to emphasize, however, that fenamiphos's existing data base cannot be used to determine the extent of avian mortalities that will occur under actual use conditions. Field soil photolysis data are needed to determine the duration of non-target exposure and could possibly eliminate the need for future end-use field studies.

Aquatic Exposure

TOXICITY DATA

Fenamiphos is highly toxic to fish and extremely toxic to freshwater invertebrates. A 96-hour bluegill sunfish LC50 of 9.5 ppb was used to establish a restricted use classification trigger (1/10 LC50) of 0.95 ppb for warmwater fishes. A Daphnia magna LC50 of 1.6 ppb was used to establish a restricted use classificatin trigger (1/10 LC50) of 0.16 ppb for freshwater invertebrates. No data are available on the chronic toxicity of fenamiphos to freshwater fish or aquatic invertebrates.

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE DATA

As indicated earlier, Nemacur is soluble (ca 400 ppm) in water and readily adsorbs to soil molecules and as such, can contaminate rainwater runoff and/or irrigation return flow waters. The half life of Nemacur in water (pH 7) was noted to be about 5 days. EEC's for fenthamiphos residues in lotic and lenthic ecosystems are presented in Appendix VI. Runoff calculations are based upon on the Registrant's own data (petition #26849) and the imput data for the Exposure Analysis Modelng System (hereinafter known as EXAMS 50).

HAZARD FROM GRANULAR (10G/15G/B5) AND LIQUID (3EC) FORMULATIONS

Exposure to non-target aquatic organisms is expected to occur via runoff (i.e., rainwater, irrigation return flow waters) and/or spray drift (See recommended label changes 107.3). Comparison of the above fish and invertebrate triggers to estimated EAC's suggests the following:

- Non-target fishes and aquatic invertebrates indigenous to small streams and ponds (worst chase situation) would be exposed to fenamiphos residues that are well below the restricted use classification triggers determined earlier.
- EXAMS 50 data also indicates that the duration of exposure (5 half lives or 97% removal) is less than 64 hours for all four cases of input load.

In conclusion, the registration of fenamiphos as a nematicide for use on will provide for a minimal increase in exposure, but not acute risks to non-target fish and aquatic invertebrates. More specifically, aquatic organisms indigenous to water sheds adjacent to or immediately downstream from target areas could be exposed to sublethal fenamiphos residues. However, the available data indicate that such exposures should be short in duration and sufficiently diluted so as avoid any adverse impact.

104.1.2 Endangered Species Considerations

Available toxicity data suggests that the Labeled use of nemacur could pose an acute hazard to federally protected species. Endangered species non-effect triggers are outlined below:

Mammalian
$$1/10 \text{ LD}_{50} = \frac{10}{10} = 1 \text{ mg/kg}$$

Avian $1/10 \text{ LC}_{50} = \frac{38}{10} = 3.8 \text{ ppm}$
 $1/10 \text{ LD}_{50} = 2.4/10 = 0.24 \text{ mg/kg}$

Fish $1/20 \text{ LC}_{50} = \frac{9.5}{20} = 0.475 \text{ ppb}$

Invertebrate $1/20 \text{ LC}_{50} = \frac{171.9}{20} = 0.08 \text{ ppb}$

Comparisons between the above fish and invertebrate triggers and EEC's for both lotic and lentic aquatic ecosystems (Appendix VI) were used to predict potential effects on federally protected species. Results of this examination reveal that all projected EEC's are well below no-effect triggers calculated for fish and aquatic invertebrates.

As demonstrated earlier (See Avian Exposure), fenamiphos can represent a hazard to small upland game birds. Because of this potential hazard, all states involved in tobacco and/or deciduous orchard culture were examined for listed avian species that would be particularly vulnerable to Nemacur. Telephone conversations with OES/Washington based endangered species representative, Dr. Jay Shepard [FTS 235-1975], reveals that the likelihood of such an adverse exposure occurring is remote since all federally protected bird species residing in or migrating though the areas of concern have specific dietary habits, habitat requirements, and/or seasonal migration patterns that would preclude their exposure to fenamiphos residues.

In conclusion, the Registration of fenamiphos on tobacco and deciduous fruit trees will provide for a minimal increase in exposure and no acute risks to federally protected fish and wildlife.

107.0

Conclusions

107.2

Classification

Used as directed all three formulations (3EC/10G/15G) pose an acute hazard to non-target avian species. These data in conjuction with the relatively large acreages for which this product is currently registered, indicate that all formulations of Nemacur should be classified as "Restricted Use" chemicals based upon their hazard to non-target birds. EEB recommends that this classification change be made of the time of product re-registration.

Labeling

The available toxicity data have demonstrated that Nemacur's active ingredient (fenamiphos) is very highly toxic to fish and wildlife. These data, in conjuction with the relatively high labeled rates of application, indicate that spray drift occurring from the 3EC formulation could pose an acute hazard to non-target organisms. In an effort to minimize the likelihood of this occurring, EEB recommends that the following statement be added to the registrant's 3EC Product Label:

"Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from target area."

107.5 Data Requests

In order to completely assess the duration of fenamiphos residues on avian dietary items, EEB requires the field soil photolysis data requested by the Environmental Exposure Branch (Fletcher memo 01/19/83).

107.6 EEB FINDINGS

EEB has completed an incremental risk assessment (3(c)(7) finding) of the proposed conditinal registration of NEMACUR 3EC/10G/15G on tobacco, non-bearing apples, cherries, and peaches. Based on the available data EEB concludes that the proposed use provides for a minimal increase in exposure, but there are acute risks to nontarget avian species.

Fisheries Biologist, Section No. 1

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Date: 8/25/83

Date: 08/25/83

Raymond Matheny Waymond W. Mathemy Head Review Section No. 1 Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Clayton Bushong, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

APPENDIX I

PRODUCT LABELS

Reason to Issue: To propose use on non-bearing fruit trees. 7/27/76 Draft:
To revise geographical restriction and add band widths for band applications: limit use to apples, peaches and cherries. 11/4/76 Draft: To restrict use of any fruit forming on treated trees for 1 year after treatment. 6/17/77 Draft: Revise as required by EPA. 4/30/79
Draft: To delete single tree application and geographic limitations. 1/17/83
Draft: Revise incorporation statements; add grazing restrictions and maximum dosage/year.

Date of Draft: 1/17/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated:
4/30/79

EPA Reg. No. 3125-283

®NEMACUR 3

EMULSIFIABLE SYSTEMIC NEMATICIDE

(march per 1 nam)

ACTIVE INGREDIENT: Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 35%

AMENDMENT

To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following:

RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

CROP	PEST	GALLONS NEMACUR 3	REMARKS
NON-BEARING FRUIT* Deciduous Fruit Trees (apple, peach and cherry trees)	Nematodes	3-1/3 to 6-2/3 10-20 3-1/3 to 6-2/3	BROADCAST APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage in 20 to 40 gallons of water per acre as a spray to the soil surface. Incorporate immediately 2 to 4 inches deep. BAND APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage in 20 to 40 gallons of water per treated acre as a spray to the soil surface with equipment properly calibrated to apply the product in a band covering the feeder root system of the tree. Incorporate immediately 2 to 4 inches deep.

*Non-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after application, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this one-year period must be destroyed and not used for human or animal consumption.

Incorporation of this product may be accomplished by mechanical incorporation ? to 4 inches deep, or by sprinkler irrigation applied immediately following application (1-2 inches of water).

Reason to Issue: Add claims for nematode

control on tobacco. 4/26/77 Draft:

To add "Note". 1/22/79 Draft: To revise in line with EPA comments. 1/17/83 Draft: Revised format. 1/31/83 Draft: Add pest

column.

EPA Reg. No. 3125-283

Date of Draft: 1/31/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated:
1/17/83

Per 89031/89032

RNEMACUR 3

EMULSIFIABLE SYSTEMIC NEMATICIDE

ACTIVE INGREDIENT:

Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 35%

AMENDMENT To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following:

CROP	PEST	Dosage NEMACUR 3 GALS./ACRE	REMARKS
FIELD CROPS			Apply as a water emulsion spray over the entire area to be treated using a minimum of 20
Tobacco (Not for use on shade- grown tobacco)	Nematodes	1-1/3 to 2 4 - 6/6s	gallons of water per acre to insure uniform distribution. Incorporate to a depth of 2 to 4 inches by disking or tilling. Where a range in rates is recommended use the high rate in fields with high populations of nematodes or in fields having a history of serious nematode damage. Plant crop in the usual manner.

Reason to Issue: To propose use on nonbearing fruit trees. 7/27/76 Draft: To revise geographical restriction; limit use to apples, peaches and cherries. 11/4/76 Draft: To restrict use of any fruit forming on treated trees for 1 year after treatment. 6/17/77 Draft: Revise as required by EPA. 4/30/79 Draft: To specify depth of incorporation and delete single tree application and geographic limitations. 1/17/83 Draft: Revise incorporation statements: add grazing restrictions and maximum dosage/year.

Date of Draft: 1/17/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated:
4/30/79

EPA Reg. No. 3125-237

®_{NEMACUR}

10% Granular

SYSTEMIC NEMATICIDE

ACTIVE INGREDIENT: Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 10%

AMENDMENT To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following:

CDOD	nter	POUNDS	DTMANG
CROP	PEST	NEMACUR 10% G	REMARKS
NON-BEARING FRUIT* Deciduous Fruit Trees (apple, peach and cherry		100 to 200	BROADCAST APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage per acre with equipment properly calibrated to insure uniform distribution. Immediately incorporate granules into the top 2 to 4 inches of soil following application.
trees)	Nematodes	100 to 200	BAND APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage per treated acre with equipment properly calibrated to apply the product uniformly in a band covering the feeder root system of the tree. Immediately incorporate the granules into the top 2 to 4 inches of soil following application.

^{*}Non-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after application, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this one-year period must be destroyed and not used for human or animal consumption.

Reason to Issue: Add claims for nematode control on tobacco. 5/14/76 Draft: To

Date of Draft: 1/31/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated:

revise "Directions for Use" and "Remarks". 4/26/77 Draft: Revise "Remarks" and add "Note". 1/22/79 Draft: To revise in line with EPA comments. 1/17/83 Draft:

1/17/83

Revised format. 1/31/83 Draft: Add pest column.

EPA Reg. No. 3125-287

Not send

RNEMACUR

10% Granular

ACTIVE INGREDIENT:

Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 10%

AMENDMENT To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following:

CROP	PEST	Dosage NEMACUR 10% G LBS./ACRE	REMARKS
FIELD CROPS		<i>~</i>	Distribute the granules uniformly over the entire area to be treated and immediately incorporate
Tobacco (Not for use	Nema- todes	40 to (60)	to a depth of 2 to 4 inches by disking or tilling to insure uniform distribution. Where a range in
on shade- grown tobacco)	: \$		rates is recommended use the high rate in fields with high populations of nematodes or in fields having a history of serious nematode Jalage.

Reason to Issue: To propose use on non-bearing fruit trees. 7/27/76 Draft:
To revise geographical restriction;
limit use to apples, peaches and cherries.
11/4/76 Draft: To restrict use of any
fruit forming on treated trees for 1
year after treatment. 6/17/77 Draft:
Revise as required by EPA. 4/30/79
Draft: To specify depth of incorporation
and delete single tree application and
geographic limitations. 1/17/83
Draft: Revise incorporation statements;
add grazing restrictions and maximum
dosage/year.

Date of Draft: 1/17/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated:
4/30/79

EPA Reg. No. 3125-236-AA

®_{NEMACUR}

15% Granular

SYSTEMIC NEMATICIDE

ACTIVE INGREDIENT: Etbyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 15%

AMENDMENT To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following: .

		POUNDS	
CROP	PEST	NEMACUR 15% G	REMARKS
Deciduous Fruit Trees (apple, peach and cherry		66.7 to 133.3	BROADCAST APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage per acre with equipment properly calibrated to insure uniform distribution. Immediately incorporate granules into the top 2 to 4 inches of soil following application.
trees)	Nematodes	66.7 to 133.3	BAND APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage per treated acre with equipment properly calibrated to apply the product uniformly in a band covering the feeder root system of the tree. Irrediately incorporate the granules into the top 2 to 4 inches of soil following application.

^{*}Non-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after application, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this one-year period must be destroyed and not used for human or inimal consumption.

Reason to Issue: Add claims for nematode control on tobacco. 5/14/76 Draft: To revise "Directions for Use" and "Remarks".

Date of Draft: 1/31/83 (Pre-Reg.) (H)
Supersedes Pre-Reg. Draft Dated: 1/17/83

4/26/77 Draft: Revise "Remarks" and add

"Note". 1/22/79 Draft: To revise

in line with EPA comments. 1/17/83 Draft: Revised format. 1/31/83 Draft: To correct

dosage; add pest column.

EPA Reg. No. 3125-286

®_{NEMACUR}

15% Granular

ACTIVE INGREDIENT:

Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate ... 15%

AMENDMENT To Previously Registered Labeling

Add the Following:

RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

CROP	PEST	Dosage NEMACUR 15% LBS./ACRE	G	REMARKS
FIELD CROPS				Distribute the granules uniformly over the entire area to be treated and immediately incorporate
Tobacco (Not for use on shade- grown tobacco	Nematodes	26-2/3 to		to a depth of 2 to 4 inches by disking or tilling to insure uniform distribution. Where a range in rates is recommended use the high rate in fields with high populations of nematodes or in fields having a history of serious nematode damage.

At Planting

APPENDIX II

SUMMARY OF MAMMALIAN
TOXICITY DATA

Tox Chem No. 453 - Nemacur	acur	, de	File Last Updated 2/23/83	Current Date	
	•	EPA Accession		XOT	CORE Grade/
Study/Lab/Study #/Date	Material	No.	LD ₅₀ , LC ₅₀ , PIS, NOEL, LEL	Category	Doc. No.
Acute Oral LDs0 - Rat Mobay Report No. 41329 August 1974	15% Granular	099496	Male 45.0 mg/kg - non fasted Female 61.0 mg/kg - non fasted Male 10.0 mg/kg - fasteed 24 hour Female 14.0 mg/kg - fasted 24 hour Female 22.0 mg/kg - fasted 15 hour	н	Minimum 002590
Acute Oral LD ₅₀ - Rat Mobay Report No. 44531 April 1975	Technical 88%	099496	Male 2.7 mg/kg Female 3.0 mg/kg	н	Minimum 002590
	Desiosopropyl Nemacur Sul- foxide 95%	099496	Male 4.1 mg/kg Female 3.7 mg/kg		
	Desethyl Nemacur 80%	099496	Male > 1000 and < 5000 mg/kg Female approx. 1000 mg/kg		
			•		

Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX III

ESTIMATED FENAMIPHOS EXPOSURE

(MG/KG/DAY) AND MG/ANIMAL/DAY) FOR

SEVEN SPECIES OF NON-TARGET BIRDS.

Calculated LC50 values and estimated fenamiphos exposure (MG/KG/DAY and MG/ANIMAL/DAY) for seven species of non-target birds. Table lA.

SPECIES	BODY WGT. (GMS.)	FOOD CONS.	F. CONS./ B. WGT (%)	CALCULATED LC50 (PPM) 6/	TOXICANT4/ MG/KG/DAY	CONSUMED5/ MG/ANIMAL/DAY	1/5 CALCULATED LC50 7/	1/5 <u>8/</u> rc10
<pre>l. Bobwhite Quail (Young)</pre>	30.01/	6.02/	20.0	38 . 0 <u>3</u> /	7.6	0.2	7.6	3,3
 Bobwhite Quail (Adult) 	170.00	15.20	8.94	85.0	7.6	1.3	17.0	7.3
3. Robin	81.10	8.11	10.00	75.9	7.6	9.0	15.2	6.5
4. Mourning Dove 100.00	100.00	11.20	11.20	6.79	7.6	8.0	13.6	5.8
5. Eastern Cowbird 50.00	3 50.00	7.00	14.00	54.3	7.6	0.4	10.9	4.7
6. Field Sparrow	13.90	4.60	33,10	23.0	7.6	0.1	4.6	2.0
7. Grasshopper Sparrow	13,90	4.60	33,10	23.0	7.6	0.1	4.6	2.0
8. Carolina Wren	19.00	6.50	34.20	22.2	7.6	0.1	4.4	1.9

$$\frac{4}{}$$
 MG/KG/Day = LC50 (ppm) X F. Con. (g) B. WGT. (g)

$$\frac{6}{\text{LC50 (ppm)}} = \frac{\text{MG/KG/Day}}{\text{F. Con.(g) / B. WGT (g)}}$$

 $[\]underline{1}/$ Milligrams body WGT. (Average weight) 17 day old birds.

 $[\]frac{7}{}$ Restricted use trigger 1/5 LC50.

^{8/ 1/5} LC10 represents the theoretical no-effect level for federally threatened and endangered species (Slope = 3.50034).

Dietary contamination and total estimated fenamiphos (10 lbs A.I./A) residues for eight species of non-target birds. Table 1B.

TOTAL 6 / RESIDUES (PPM) Both Plant/Animal	/ 488.0 ppm	244.2 ppm	304.0 ppm	120.0 ppm
	24.0 ppm 9/	87.6 ppm	72.0 ppm	120 ppm
MAXIMUM ADJUSTED RESIDUES5/ Animal Plant	464.0 ppm <u>8</u> /	120 ppm (k) 156.6 ppm	120 ppm (k) 232.0 ppm	() 0.0 ppm
S4/ (PPM) Plant	120 ppm (k)	120 ppm (k	120 ppm (k	120 ppm (k)
MAXIMUM EXPECTED RESIDUES $^4/$ (PPM) Animal Plant	580 ppm (k) $\frac{2}{1}$ 120 ppm (k) 464.0 ppm $\frac{8}{2}$ 24.0 ppm $\frac{9}{2}$ ed deza	580.0 ppm (k) : ed deza	580.0 ppm (k) / s: y od	580.0 ppm (k):
AED 3/ Plant	20% 58 Seeds: Ragweed rs Lespedeza Corn Etc.	73% 580 Seeds: Ragweed cs Lespedeza Corn etc.		100% Seeds: Corn Pigweed Etc.
FOOD CONSUMED $\frac{3}{4}$ Animal (%) Plan	80% Beetles Weevils Grasshoppers Etc.	27% Beetles Weevils Grasshappers etc.	40% Caterpillars Beetles Weevils Earthworms	• 8 0
1/5 CALC. 2/ LC ₅₀ (PPM)	7.6	34.0	15.2	13.6
CALCULATED LC50 (PPM)	38.0	170.0	75.9	67.9
SPECIES	Bobwhite Quail (14-Day)	Bobwhite Quail (Adult)	Robin	Mourning Dove

FOOTNOTES IN TABLE 1C.

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLE 1B AND 1C

1/ Refer to table 1A (Footnote 6) for an explanation of how the "calculated $1C_{50}$'s" were obtained.

 $\frac{2}{4}$ Application of Sec. 102.11(c)(2)(iii)(B) criterion of Sec. 3 Regulations.

3/ This information is taken from:

Martin, Alexander C., et al., American Wildlife and Plants; A Guide to Wildlife Food Habits, Dover Publ., Inc., N.Y., 195.

4/ Based upon a 10.0 lb, active ingredient per acre, application to expected food items using following references:

on Plants. Correlation of Representative Data as a Basis for Environmental Quality, Academic Press, New York, I: 9-28, (a) Hoerger, F.D. and E.E. Kenaga, Pesticide Residues on Plants. Estimation of Their Magnitude in the Environment. Environment

(b) Kenaga, E.E., Factors to be Considered in the Evaluation of the Toxicity of Pesticides to Birds in Their Environment, Environmental Quality and Safety, Academic Press, N.Y., II: 166-181, 1973.

Examples: 5/ Residue values adjusted to reflect & animal/plant matter consumed.

(a) Bobwhite Quail, Adult:

580.0 ppm x 0.40 (40%) = 232.0 ppm 120.0 ppm x 0.60 (60%) = 72.0 ppm

Robin, Adult:

<u>e</u>

Examples animal or plant alone or a total of animal and plant food items. 6/ Reflects total residues expected in the diet:

mdd 9.78 =

 $580.0 \text{ ppm} \times 0.27 (27\$) = 156.6$

120 ppm x 0.73 (73%)

(a) Robin, Adult:

(b) Mourning Dove, Adult:

232.6 + 72.0= 304.0 ppm total for animal and plant foods.

120 ppm total expected in food items consumed (i.e., $1.00 (100\%) \times 120.0 \text{ ppm} = 120 \text{ ppm}$).

7/ (k) refers to maximum expected residues as per (4)(a), and (b) above.

8/ This is the maximum expected residue value for daily pesticide burden occurring from animal items.

9/ Daily pesticide burden occuring from ingested plant items.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY 2 0 1983

OFFICE OF
PESTICIOES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANOUM

TG:

Charles A. Bowen

Ecological Effects Branch

Mazard Evaluation Division (TS-759)

SUBJEIC:

REC's for Fenamiphos (Nemacur) Fel Pegistration No.

3125-283/3125-286

THRU:

Carolyn K. Offutt, Chief (1) 1 1/1 11

Environmental Processes and Guidelines Section

Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

You requested on April 24, 1983, estimated environmental concentrations (EEC's) for two different formulations (3EC and 10G/15G) of Fenamiphos in both lentic and lotic environments. The models we use do not currently differentiate the amount of runoff from various formulations. In general, the cunoff model inputs use the application rate (1b a.i./A) of the active ingredients in the formulation.

As per the discussion in my office we agreed (1) to use the lowest and highest calculated average runoff values per inch of rainfall based on "lobay"s runoff study (pesticide petition #26841) and (2) to provide exposure analyses via EXAMS50 (steady-state simulation) model using four different drift loads (DRFLD) which are talculated from the lowest and the highest average runoff values after application of fenamiphos at the rate of 20 lb a.i./A and 6 lb a.i./A.

Runoff Calculation

The calculated runoff values based on Mobay's study (petition #25849) and the input data for the EXAMS50 drift load (DRFLD) are shown in Table 1.

The results of the Exposure Analysis Modeling System (EXAMS50) for fenamiphos in the lentic and the lotic environments are shown in Table 2 and 3 repectively.

Under the steady-state assumption of the EXAMS50 model, the maximum concentration in the water and in the sediment is a linear function of the amount of input load, entered as wrift, to the small pond of 15 hectares and small river flowing adjacent to a crop field.

The maximum concentrations in the lentic water are 1.6 ppt and 0.65 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 20 lps a.i./A, and 0.49 ppt and 0.19 ppt for 1% and 0.4 runoff at the application rate of 6 lbs a.i./A. The maximum concentrations in lentic sediment deposits on a dry weight basis are 1.4 ppt and 0.55 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 20 lbs a.i./A, and 0.17 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 6 lbs a.i./A. The recovery time (3 half-lives or 75% removal) is 12 hours and self-purification (5 half-lives or 97% removal) is 64 hours in all Four cases of the input load.

The maximum concentrations in the lotic water are 0.02 ppt and 0.0086 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 20 lbs a.i./A, and 0.0965 ppt and 0.0026 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 6 lbs a.i./A. The maximum concentrations in the lotic sediment deposits on a dry weight basis are 0.00062 ppt and .00025 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at 20 lbs a.i./A and 0.00019 ppt and 0.000074 ppt for 1% and 0.4% runoff at the application rate of 6 lbs a.i./A. The recovery time (2 half-lives or 75% removal) is 12 hours and self-purification (5 half-lives or 77% removal) is 2 hours in all four cases of the input load.

As per our discussion you agreed with me that the fenamiphos (Nemacur) registration package has the following important data gaps: (1) rate of photolysis, (2) rate of neutral hydrolysis, (3) rate of oxidation, (4) octanol-water partition ratio, (5) runoff experimental data for the soil-incorporated pesticide (6) all environmental fate and process data pertaining to the major metabolites or degradation products (sulfoxide and sulfone).

I hope that you will be able to use these estimated EEC's from the EXAMS50 steady-state version in the final phase of the ecological effects part of the fenamiphos Registration review.

P.R. Datta, Chemist

Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS-769)

Daily Runoff Data for fenamiphos (Nemacur)
from Mobay's Petition #26849

Application Bato	Ranges of	Average Runoff Values
Application Rate	0.43	1.08
20 lb/A	0.08 15/A/day	0.2 lb/A/day
	1.50 x 10 ⁻³ kg/a/hr (EXAMS50)	3.76 x 10 ⁻³ kg/A/hr (EXAMS50)
5 lh/A	0.024 lb/A/day	0.06.1b/A/day
	0.45 x-10 ⁻³ kg/A/hr (EXAMS 50)	1.13 x 10 ⁻³ lg/A/hr (EXAMS50)

^{*} Based on the runoff study using three different soils (sandy loam, silt loam and organic silt loam). After 37 days of treatment, the percent of the applied Nemacur in the runoff water for per inches of rainfall (irrigation) was 0.4 for sandy loam, 1.0% for silt loam, 0.76% for organic silt loam. The runoff water was collected at 10 ft from the base of treated plots with a slope of 8.3%. Therefore, the range of average runoff values was assumed to be 0.4% to 1.0% of the applied Nemacur.

EXPOSURE Analysis Modeling System (EXAMS50) for fenamiphos (NEMACUR) in the Lentic (Pond) Environment

i.		<u>Applicati</u>	on Rates	
<u> </u>	20 lb a.i.//	1	6 lb a.i./A	1
,	Drift Load (DRFLD)	Drift Load	(DRFLD)
	low runoff	high runoff	low runoff	high runoff
EXAMS50 Parameters	1.5x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	3.76x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	0.45x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	1.13x10 ⁻³ kg/h
Maximum conc. in water	6.5x10 ⁻⁷ mg/1	1.6x10 ⁻⁶ mg/1	1.9x10 ⁻⁷ mg/1	$4.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{mg/l}$
Maximum conc. in sediment deposit (dry wt)	5.5x10 ⁻⁷ mg/kg	1.4x10 ⁻⁶ mg/kg	1.7x10 ⁻⁷ mg/kg	4.1x10 ⁻⁷ mg/l
Total Steady State Accumulation	1.3×10 ⁻⁵ kg	3.3x)0 ⁻⁵ kg	4.0x10 ⁻⁶ kg	1.0×10 ⁻⁵ kg
Recovery (75% removed)	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours
elfpurifica- tion	64 hours	64 hours	64 hours	64 hours

TABLE 3

Exposure Analysis Modeling System (EXAMS50) for fenamiphos (Nemacur) in the Lotic (River) Environment

		Applicatio	n Rates	
	20 lb a.i./A		6 lb a.i./	<u>'A</u>
RYAMS 50	Drift Load (DR	PLF)	Drift Load	(DRFLD)
	low munoff	high nmoff	low_runofff	high munoff
Parameters	1.5x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	3.76x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	0.45x10 ⁻³ kg/hr	1.3x10 ⁻³ kg/hr
Maximum conc. in water	8.6x10 ⁻⁹ mg/l	2.1x10 ⁻⁸ ~c/1	2.6x10 ⁻⁹ mg/l	6.5x10 ⁻⁹ mg/l
Maximum conc. in sediment deposit (dry wt)	2.5x10 ⁻¹⁰ my/kg	6.2x10 ^{—10} mg/ky	7.4x10 ⁻¹¹ mg/kg	1.9x10 ⁻¹⁰ mg/k
Total Steady State Accumulation	2.6xln ⁻⁶ kg	6.5x10 ⁻⁶ kg	7.8x10 ⁻⁷ kg	2.0x10 ⁻⁶ kg
Recovery (75% removal)	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours
Selfpurifica- tion	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours

AERL-ESB MODEL OF FATE OF ORGANIC TOXICANTS IN AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS CHEMICAL: FENAMIPHOS(NEMACUR). PRD 5/13/83.

ECOSYSTEM: Unspecified Environment

		CONTRACTOR AND UNITED IN	SEEP DATA	
TABLE 1.1. SH2			HENRY= .0	
NWT= 303.0	SOL = 450.0			
KYO= .0	ESOL= .0	EVPR= .0	EHEN = .0	
κρs - 1.950	KPR = .N	KOC = .0	KOW = .0	
KAH1 = 3.200	EAHl = .0	KNHl = .0	ENH1 = .0	
४९म2= .0	EAH2= .0	KNH2= .0	ENH2= .0	
KAH3= .D	EAH3= .u	KNH3= .0	EMH3 = .0	
квн1= 12.30	EBHI= .O	KOX1 = .0	0. = IXOB	
KBH2= .0	@BH2= .()	KOX2= .0	EOX2 = .0	
KBH3= .0	EBH3= .0	KO X 3= .0	EOX3 = .0	
	-03 OTW1= .0	KBACSI= .0	QTSl=	.0
KBACW2= .0	OTW2= .0	KBACS2= .0	OTS2=	<u>,</u> n
%BACW2= .0	OTV3 = .0	KBACS3= .0	QTS3=	.0
	RFLAT= .0	LAMAX = 0.0		
(KDP= .0	OUANT2= .0	OUANT3= .0		
OUANT1= .0 ABSORPTION SPEC		.1)	.0	
	21277	.0	.0	• 0
• '/	· .	.0	.0	. វា
	···	.0	.0	.0
	0 .0	ູ້ຄ	.0	.0
• •	0 .0		.0	.0
- .	0 .0	•0	.0	.0
.0	0 .0	.0	• •	• •

APPENDIX V

POTENTIAL TOBACCO AND DECIDUOUS FRUIT TREE ACREAGE BY STATE

Calculations used to estimate acreages for $\underline{\text{non-bearing}}$ fruit trees in U.S.

02000 2		Non-bearing	
Cherries	total trees 9,611,152	trees 1,862,853	19%
Apples	38,384,482	8,758,928	22%
Peaches	24,462,556	4,559,845	19%

1,753,392 (acres in U.S.) X 20% = 350,678

 $\frac{350,678}{87,082,700} = 0.4$ %

Table 26. Tobacco: 1974

ľ		All forms			Forms with	sales at \$2,500 and over		
	<u> </u>				·····		Irrigated	
	Farms	Acres	Quantity harvested Ipounds1	Farms	Acres	Quantity harvested (pounds)	Forms	Acres
United States	197 764	877 113	1 733 365 121	151 017	842 (341	1 673 560 673	8 755	89 401
Regions								
Northeast North Cristal South West	1 900 13 528 183 336	16 928 27 688 832 497	29 504 999 54 585 374 1 649 274 748	1 853 9 782 139 382	16 841 25 527 799 673	29 386 424 50 944 272 1 593 229 977	83 138 8 534	3 &61 337 85 403
Divisions		4 50 4			. 4.4		40	
New England Middle Altantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mauntain Pacific	160 1 740 11 847 681 27 795 105 511- 30	6 524 10 404 25 571 2 118 603 200 229 094 203	10 547 543 18 957 456 49 992 584 4 402 790 1 168 667 129 490 457 927 149 692	1 56 1 697 9 184 598 65 535 73 819 28	6 518 10 324 23 467 2 060 592 450 207 020 203	10 537 370 18 849 054 46 452 470 4 491 812 1 152 021 474 441 059 141 149 362	68 15 123 15 6 417 2 117 -	3 575 86 260 77 76 538 8 866 - -
New England					,			
Maine	51 109	1 568 4 956	2 604 070 7 943 473	48 108	1 563 4 955	2 596 855 7 940 515	21 47	1 135 2 440
New Yark	.		امًا	_	-	-	-	-
New Jersey Pennsylvania	1 739	10 392	(D)	1 697	10 324	18 849 054	15	36
Bast North Central				,				
Ohia Indiana Illinois	5 290 4 229	10 277 6 098	19 949 002 12 776 278	3 770 3 282	9 094 5 458	18 001 499 11 627 750	106	24 232 -
Michigan Wiscansin	2 327	1 9 195	1 400 17 255 904	2 132	8 915	16 823 021	3	4
West North Central						1		
Minnesota Jowa Missouri Missouri North Dakoso South Dakoso hbrasko ursas	9 - 664 - - - 8	2 070 - - 15	4 502 718 34 772	9 582 - - - 7	33 2 013 - - 14	65 300 4 393 630 - - - 32 672	15 - - - -	- 77 - - -
South Atjanija	-					Ì		
Delaware Maryland Virginia Virginia Wes! Virginio North Caradina South Corolina Georgia Rondo	2 463 15 903 1 166 44 023 6 632 6 408 1 200	20 459 67 308 1 227 366 841 71 099 65 048 11 217	24 050 946 122 370 907 1 995 331 714 135 P18 146 343 457 137 298 822 22 471 748	2 083 11 398 381 38 522 5 867 6 203 1 081	19 7.49 63 618 689 362 202 70 315 64 816 11 060	23 404 244 116 350 651 1 186 121 706 522 044 145 287 632 136 987 753 22 283 029	150 1 483 4 3 119 122 1 152 387	1 839 14 405 10 , 38 227 1 789 14 387 \$ 880
East South Central								
Kentucky †ennessee Alabama Mississiopi	71 037 34 419 54	179 075 49 486 533 (Z)	388 147 707 91 360 581 949 319 320	\$3 709 20 056 53 1	166 445 40 042 533 (Z)	365 142 710 74 967 068 949 043 320	1 627 489 1 -	7 \$97 1 249 20 -
West South Central		2	2 704	2	•	2 454		
Arkansas Lauisiana Okiahoma 7exos	25 - -	201 - -	3 786 145 906	3 25 - -	3 201 - -	3 456 145 906	- -	- - -
Mountain								
Mantone	-	-	-	- - - - -	- - - -	-	- - - - -	- • • - -
Pacifie						į	-	
Washinpton Oregan California Alaska	- - -	-	-			-	:	- - -
Nowoii			<u>-</u> [_		-	-

Toble 11. Fruits and Nuts: 1974—Continued

ms With Sales	7	ot a !	!	Henbeering	GQ#	Bearin	<u> </u>		Quantity
\$2,500 and	Forms	Acres	7rees or vines	Ferms	Trees or vines	Ferms	7rees or vines	Farms	Quality
Sherries (1b)				1 200	1 060 265	3 503	4 293 016	3 213	260 391 032
.S., tatal	3 838 3	6) 002 1	5 353 781	1 328 3 2	74	- 2	101	25	1 329 031
0m0	Ä.	1 245	15 360	20	1 563 4 735	28 64	13 797 47 472	60	2 461 027
fornia	42 68	522	52 207 33	13 2	4 (32 (0)	1	10) 13 573		822 634
rodo	3 27	175	14 828	4	1 255 72	25 10	282	1 2	2 500 61 531
vo	19	6	354 1 979	9 15	245	17	1 734		4 505
Ors	30 10	43 13	736	7	300 445	4 30		16	25 545
0	48	42	2 235	19		9	264	3	12 \$10 195 361
	23	9	337	15 10	73 1 317	19	3 460		195 248 726
ryland	28	42 43 14)	3 785 3 725 343	728	754 78 5 , (D)	1 883	10	<u>,</u>	940 l 1 519
mesote	1 942 8	2	710	2 10	442	16			466 320
300ri	24 14	12 245	24 435	7	10 431 778	1	1 33	s	50 375 6 450
oroska	iī	21	2 114	7 3	58			4	3 040
W Jecsey	6 7	9 3	210	1	(D) 100 340	37			17 103 990
w Mexico	403	5 534	490 672	118			5 3	1 3	1 420 4 192
L L	8	2	74	6	43 10)	1	4 (0		983 217
rth Corolina	5	3) 6	478 25 743	24	4 639	8 2	9 77	0 14	6 500 950
io	96 42	25	1 145	18 30	375 27 860	14	ا 30 72		12 341 517
Jahoma	154	2 Q10 2 252	158 581 205 532	69	37 028	22	7 1043 34 5 19	5	1 565
nasylygaid	255 6	3	154	1 14	101 2 29		ž į	7 2	(D) 123
oth Dakata	20	4 7	256 439	20	346		12 76 177 4	7 145	9 128 817
X65	36 183	2 314	247 806	53	70 342	·	-	0) 2	101
oh	3	5	285	1	10) 1 96	' 1 .	20 28	13 12	87 442 2 844 755
erment	30	49	3 01) 29 371	10 11	2 156	1	62 27 2 11 6 8	19 1	376 790
oshington	69 13	340 124	8 049	5	1 234 39 696	' 1	11 6.8 88 295.7	27 182	10 242 814 3 870
/est Virginio	196	3 471	335 423 419	69	37 970 10	7 3	9	0) 6	3 0
Il other	10	7	417			1			
Sweet Cherries (lb)				- 150	802 59	7 46	65 3 455 2	74 4 201	250 098 743
U.5., total	5 140	58 110 1	4 257 871	1 673	L	»	2	10)	10)
Nighama	5	23	1 420	5 278	10 253 2 5		30 749	158 484	50 304 899 5 510 164
Arkansas	614	12 763	1 002 710	276	2 59	9	67 16 5	700 1	(0)
160	81 5	252 2	76	3	10 5 26		67 60 :	342 S9	3 526 982 1 440
gono	73	854	65 B02	4		15	9 13	4 4	29 140
ltinois	15 20	18	688	7	24	2	5	202 5	2 185 2 220
ndiana	9	4	214 238	4	11		9	''' [
Kansas	14	5		4		12	3	34 ! nea 19	10) 1 73 737
Kentucky	7	2 46	3 199	7	1		21 3 024 827	784 933	49 968 876 225
Maryland	25 1 080	13 240	1 002 345	318 14		39	,	135 3	2 541 669
Michigan	20	6 661	374 63 915	52	12 6	40	80 51	-	-
Montona	83 4	3	54	4		54		455	(0) 143 500
New Jersey	6	17 52	1 455 3 857	5			13 2 350 111	613 273	5 154 006
New Mexica	61 9 6E	2 051	127 211	75		44		52 2	(0)
New York	10	4	196		•		54 7	779 44	418 578 11 030
Ohio	76	145		37	, 1	26	12	217 047 725	\$8 041 423
Okichamo	18 819	14 972		258	149 2			297 138	1 297 866
Oregon	212	581	37 ! 16	7(!	í	101	1	(0) 722	(0
Tennessee	12	2 21	1 545		7	923	5 213 93	472 195	6 040 211 28 02
7esos	218	1 364	108 233	41 1	١	182	10	607 945 1 137	71 360 77
Virginie	25 1 247	35 10 822	921 791	38	2 !53	90	13 4	548	377 96 157 40
West Virginia	17	75	5 4 638		5 6	137	-	733 3 54 1	131 -0
Wisconsin	12 11	75	2 93		6	39	6		
All other									
Grapes (rans, Iresh)				3 85	3 54 898	698	3 303 300 12	5 824 12 804	3 821 99
U.S., total	14 208	712 80		3 85		386	26	0 055	
1	33	5		,	0 218	340	31 1 74	4 803 30 5 004 111	7 13
Arizona	36	4 11. 2 80	9 1 491 907	:	36 256		8 074 248 74	6 323 7 997	3 451 34
Arkansos	96! Ess 8	607 01	294 683 142	! 80		901	23 3	2 327	į 1
Colorada	. 32 5		5 506		i -	(01		8 477	5
Connecticul	6	1	8 477	•		071		1 507 1 7 222 5	10
Floridai	28	6 71	5 230 271		47 73	049 604		4 384 T	4 8
Georgia	111 19	26			-			0 571	8
láoho	34	í	95 41 992			421 792	55 1	ii 077 4	3 5
Unois	48	3	77 170 869	1	ā	814	18		ĭ
GWG	25		71 31 589		22 26	298 743	24 31	8 059 2	5
Xonsos	44 51		42 16 802		ا اُ	950	10		5 3
ntucky	14		11 5 295 67 33 683		14 !5	065	îó	8 934	9
Mossachusetts	35 13	:	36 19 633			699 1857	975 69	11 187 91	9 . 41 <i>i</i>
Michigan	1 013 10	15 7	61 7 810 044 3 674		,	450	4	124 (
Minneroto								Agriculture — St	

Table 11. Fruits and Nuts: 1974

Parent and Excellents Parent of Visites of Visites Parent of Visites of Visites Parent of Visites	Farms With Sales		7etal		Honbecon	g øge	Bearing	oge	Horves	ed
Desired and Exemishment Applies 17 144 785 17 700 200 5 734 Debut Etc. 7 018 11 601 046 1 397 0 1 397 0 1 1 4 4 5 5 6 1 397 0 1 1 4 4 5 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	of \$2,500 and	Farms	Acres	Trees or vines	Farm's	Trees or vines	Farms	7rees or vines	Farms	Quantity
Appeire (b)	- T									
Ashebino					•					
According 1 1	J.S., 1010	9 222	146 785	L		1				1 399 001 493
200 200		14	46	5 115	6	641	12	4 474	. 7	1 507 371 364 850 1 936 359
Connectical 6. 14.53 124.54 51		243	4 425	571 122	112	122 350	176	448 772	172	49 853 243 6 924 664
Debarder 6			1 145	124 614	51	46 152	68	78 462	65	10 976 544 3 801 777
1		5	10	928	5	(0)	. 1	(D)	1	9 061 136
Bookes										17 975 869
Second S								143 768	125	22 225 388 20 886 761
Tember T	lows	88	730	89 233			52	35 261	37	3 762 880 2 361 648
Methodshufff 100	Kentucky	181	1 030	114 473			52	52 044	49	3 892 190 6 200 583
Michigan 1006 16 794 1777 482 544 963 363 734 1794 679 580 07 07 07 07 07 07 07	Moryland	78	973	93 316	49	35 987			89	6 245 695 20 835 239
Mississippi	Michigan	1 006	16 794	1 777 482	544	683 383				107 348 721 7 469 536
Merstorian 127 1800 193 405 88	1		_		14					13 522 12 054 972
Selection 24 9 5 18 10 675 10 A 400 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Mitsouri	10	81	8 570	6	6 518	7	2 052	6	128 040 302 560
New Interpolation	Hebraska	24	4	295	3	(0)	2	(0)	1	302 380 (0) 13 422 744
Series	New Hampshire				50	43 483	98	152 845	93	26 204 253
North Cholds	New Mesico				501	772 845	578	1 420 594	5 5 2	935 757 157 985 555
North Nort		439		ļ						52 755 217 (0)
Oktober	Ohio	437	4 378	478 061	234	124 312	347	353 769	312	29 132 198
18	Oregon	326	2 072	251 999	160	75 540	263	176 459	233	30 187 561 66 734 013
Sorth Dischela 45	Pennsylvania Rhode Island		130	10 141	8	3 540	15	6 601	. 14	2 016 950 11 320 621
Teno	South Caroline				7	4 263	8	1 566	6	218 899 6 218 292
Use Use	Tennessee					43 952 37 82 0				108 774
Virginial										8 450 402 4 536 009
Stendard Apples (b) 15 227 360 563 20 656 182 4 515 27 712 104 14 189 17 944 078 13 004 4 519		380	10 140	1 043 540	201	318 895	297	724 645	279	87 936 076 552 398 090
Stendard Apples (b)	est Virginio	114	2 627	240 211	74	82 020	91	158 191	88	16 968 697 13 747 333
U.S., total		216	2 243	290 836	137	100 734				
Alsbame		14 727	140 541	20 454 182	4 515	7 712 104	14 189	17 944 078	13 004	4 519 830 927
Arkenses 76 1 240 66 862 32 19 863 59 46 999 46 77 Calfornia 733 18 181 1 270 202 204 90 991 673 1 179 211 655 333 (Colorada. 266 4 708 335 222 61 28 282 254 324 840 211 32 Colorada. 150 3 360 157 652 24 6 085 147 145 547 142 36 Colorada. 150 3 360 157 652 24 6 085 147 145 547 142 36 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150				<u></u>	•		↑ ~			1 228 523
California 266 4 708 313 222 61 28 382 254 324 840 211. 32	Arizono	28	126	5 385	4			46 999	46	93 500 7 736 957
Connecticu7	California	733	18 181	1 270 202	204					333 365 497 32 997 99 0
Position	Connecticut	150	3 360	157 652	24	6 065	147			36 396 838 10 092 456
156 3 672 279 214 39 22 356 149 256 858 143 54	florida	6	13	514	1	(0)	\$			(D) 12 415 531
Second										54 259 394
180				196 747	119	38 215	260	158 532	242	63 444 151 36 097 367
Separate Separate	kow0	180		71 308	88	11 364	130	59 944	95	10 414 917 10 764 200
Monte	Kentucky		1 480 9		4	92	3	497	1	8 114 802 (D)
Alexander 229 6 414 291 055 38 29 097 223 261 958 213 24	Moine				55	33 162	161	259 508	149	63 487 617 68 474 219
Minnesota 198 3 143 203 060 125 63 816 171 137 244 157 25	Mossochusetts	229								74 492 305 496 888 073
All sisksippi	·									25 372 995 203 38 8
Montene	Mississippi	223	4 874	244 566	102	41 455	171	203 111	136	47 378 907 317 921
Nevodo	Montena	53 64	331	17 049	37	3 858	51	13 191	44	1 495 619 118 300
New Jersey 230 7 015 398 914 47 26 827 421 372 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 007 318 917 0	Nevada	67	3 145	119 614	13	7 730	66	111 864	64	41 128 376 85 458 333
New Madden 1977 2 246 794 1 217 A57	New Jersey	130	1 919	88 210	26	11 985	124	76 225	74	4 881 417 657 335 762
New York 1 312 55 505 2 457 468 2/1 197 /44 1 2/0 2 237 45 106	Hew York	l .								106 099 149
Horth Caroling 27 136 6 509 17 2 780 24 3 729 20 Horth Onkote 701 396 826 640 85	Horth Dakote	27	136	6 509	17	2 780	24	3 729	` 20 640	213 400 85 773 505
0hio	Oklahoma	105	1 38\$	83 768	47	39 113	. 68	44 655	24	2 600 030 105 913 783
Oragon 1 122 29 712 1 570 465 256 162 819 1 062 1 407 646 982 277 Pennsylvania 1 122 29 712 256 162 819 1 062 1 407 646 982 277	Pennsylvania	1 122	29 712	1 570 465	256	162 819	1 062	1 407 646	982	777 701 539 4 655 345
Rhode island	South Carolina	72	1 166	68 167	16	3 213	1 66	64 954	61	4 o18 057 707 500
South Dokofe	7ennessec	234	1 794	89 121	130	23 909	176	65 212	146	6 736 255 309 926
SK83	19h	230	2 208	146 418	32	12 241	223	134 177	182	18 753 442 46 455 513
					187					284 17e 349

Table 11. Fruits and Nuts: 1974—Continued

rms With Sales	<u></u>	7gtol		Nonbearing	oge	Bearing a	oge	Horveste	м
\$2,500 and	Forms	Acres	7rees or vines	Forms	7rees or vines	Farms	Trees or vines	Forms	Quantity
rer									i
Candord Apples							Į		
'lb) Cen.	5 454	57 345	5 251 819	800	1 014 993	2 455	4 236 826 596 424	2 404 209	1 116 171 187 122 061 122
shington	2 494 230	13 884	670 949	98 167	74 525 43 189	221 435	304 838	376	56 265 064 \$4 500
cansin	469 8	6 647 16	348 027 659		101	7	101	3	34 300
oming									
lingstone Peaches (lb)						2 941	8 676 912	2 531	1 494 730 730
J.S., satal	3 438	102 136	10 284 260	1 168	607 348	-		57	2 475 514
	86	774	79 388	32	13 013 26 745	69 7	66 375 20 834	6	173 707
tong	10 83	487 1 508	47 579 113 075	5 24	20 921	72 1 333	92 IS4 6 055 746	61 1 310	4 794 871 1 345 346 422
domic	1 376	66 18 6	6 850 852 5 065	406 2	795 104 ID)	15	10}	12 8	248 250 190 226
grgda	16 10	60 24	1 658	5	74 108 902	8 20	1 584 1 168 7 60	10	3 100 104
nneclicut	22	2 933 12 308	277 682 1 296 519	10 73	329 885	117	966 634	92 4	43 419 017 25 500
orgio	147 - 4	7	643	14	5 148	25	19 470	7	459 850
10i\$	35	301	24 6 18			12	4 238	9	144 440
iona	18	90 1	6 255 40	10	2 017	2	(0)	1 4	(0) 70 990
NS01	3 31	77	4 020	16	2 199 7 092	18 36	1 627 17 197	23	1 343 284
nsucky	65	270 321	24 284 30 445	32 9	4 233	34	26 212 10)	28 3	2 037 740 23 700
pine	38 4	2	153	9	(0) 471	3 14	1 446	13	189 810 201 950
ryland	11 21	30 18	1 917 1 318	4	424	9	270 507	8 280	19 030 894
chioso	339	3 084	313 305 6 589	65 16	42 798 2 919	311 23	3 670	15	186 130
ssissippi	34	86	0.3463			36	24 769	16	1 069 600
issouri	53	396	35 750 126	33 5	10: 981	1	(0)	-	
broske	6	2	76	1	(D) (D)	3	(0)	2	107
w Hampshire	4	2 559	210 } 54 118	1 7	2 515	23	51 603 291	19	6 899 763 2 660
w Jersey	2 8 13	11	609	. 5	318 6 123	9 56	35 708	45	2 551 666
w York	64 63	471 946	41 831 86 897	17 26	23 840	47	63 057 14 111	33 27	3 671 138 698 910
orth Cerolino	47	198	17 112	14 39	3 001 17 490	38 37	26 775	6	22 690
klahama	65	514	44 255	37		na.	5 391	22	310 924
regon	33		6 331 92 106	13 19	940 25 289	28 60	65 817	55	8 494 165 32 738 54
ennsylvania	75 125	1 058 4 789	469 582	53	103 151	106 21	366 431 15 877	98 17	2 427 20
ennesses	45	227	19 859 i 205 887 i	31 120	3 987 I 29 785	203	176 102	116	3 138 941 160 60
Peas	287 20	3 061 43	4 298	6	2 130	14 45	2 !&8 60 181	35	3 792 82
:KQ	60	618	62 260 49 617	18 20	2 079 11 511	61	38 106	55 12	5 006 78 200 60
iington	71 15	445 79	6 530	2	(D) S35	14 5	10) 866	" 5	92 12
Vost Virginia	7	31	1 451	3	333				
Freeziene Peeckes (lb)									1 106 929 12
U.S., tosol	8 858	147 683	14 178 296	3 410		7 660	11 225 799 160 882	6 473 106	6 914 81
Alabamo	149	2 085	204 579	55 10		121	24 319	20	1 198 82
rizona	33 179	318 2 960	32 447 253 229	67	52 864	146	200 365 2 132 485	98 1 191	9 187 12 420 647 84
Arkansas	1 339	24 546	2 641 317	431 72	508 632 51 589	1 238	274 531	195	20 407 67 3 787 96
oloredo	216 80	2 584 570	326 120 50 690	27	8 902	79		75 15	1 756 65
Connecticul	19	333	26 187 207 188	12		15	129 064	10 125	948 46 38 414 6
Porida	25 173	1 444 10 646	1 073 126	71	234 095	151		66	10 548 1:
deha	79	1 175	113 407	25	. 25-30			42	4 232 8
ar	214	2 840	213 930	98		158		50	3 548 3
Illinois	117	1 290 14	110 840	79 7	311		749	38	
Konsas	10 139	1 243	93 605	5 8 7 8			70 817	74	4 274 0
Kentecky	165 59	1 !!! 1 134	92 605 99 432	35	25 03)	52		45 109	22 \$18 5
Maryland	133	2 899	262 841 25 924	51 25		56	18 906	45 726	
Massochusetts	849 62	324 8 288	826 792	325	164 976			144	
Michigan	75	778	63 423	34	10000			71	5 196 0
Missouri	177	2 600	199 517	9:				} 3	l .
Hebraska	13	6 2	416 198		103	5 5	(0)	1	
Hew Nompshire	3 19	54	4 532 1 201 168	10:	9 1 540 5 160 129	264	1 041 039	249	
New Jersey	273 47	12 431 106	8 407	13	g 1 057			260	11 018
Hew Mesico	353	2 038 3 091	198 313 307 942	15 71	0 48 769	[4]	7 259 173	122	
Month Carolina	176 471	3 449	306 568	18.				7	
Oklahama	144	1 201	96 675					16"	9 10 412 :
Oregon	220	1 630	143 576	7 25			805 521	57:	5 85 075
Pennsylvania	899 11	10 643 79	982 330 4 610		4 33	1	4 280		6 158 284
Rhade Island	347	24 728	2 272 154	15 5		5 7	6 51 153	. 5	1 927
7ennessee	110 559	839 5 452	71 158 359 172	22	5 76 86	6 41		: 1 20	7 8 891
7e eas	24)	1 694	180 240 420 327	. 8	72 87	9 27	6 347 448	24	9 30 959
ginid	317 424	4 572 3 163	416 434	11	e 73 89	9 39	9 229 497	9	2 26 206
shingtonest Virginia	107	3 343	285 172 107	4	ις 55 67 2 Ιζ	~ ;	1 10) [<u> </u>
(3), 41, 411, 411, 412, 412, 412, 412, 412,	3					š l	2 10		2

111 - 30 United States

1974 Census of Agriculture—State Misc Data

APPENDIX VI

EAB EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT FOR FENAMIPHOS.

	214031 11. Till.) () <u> </u>
	MAR log our mate: M	AY 2 0 1983
	MAR Ind nor Date: M	Li fa
To: <u>Jacoby/Beavers</u> Product Manager #21 Registration Division (TS-76	(7)	
From: Carolyn K. Offutt (); Head, Environmental Processes Exposure Assessment Branch, H	r and Guidelines Section	
Attached, please find the estimated review of:	i environmental concentra	ation
Reg./File No.: 3125-283, 3125	-237, 3125-236	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Chemical: Fenamiphos		
Type Product: [
Product Name: Nemacur		
Company Name:		
Submission Purpose: EEC		
ZBB Code: other	Action Code: 316	
Date In: <u>4/27/83</u>	EFB±: 3333-3335, 33	366- 3368
Date Completed:	TAIS (Level II)	Days
Deferrals To:	61	ţn
Ecological Effects Branch	ı	
Residue Chemistry Branch		
Toxicology Branch		